



UDVIKLINGS OG FORENKLINGS STYRELSEN

The Danish Tax Authority

Requirements and guidelines for implementing digital signatures in Digital Cash Register Systems

Revision released October 2023

Contents

1 Introduction	2
1.1 The main principles	2
1.2 Legal basis for the signature	3
1.3 Cryptography	3
1.4 Digital signature	3
1.5 Acquiring the RSA keypair through OCES CA	3
2 Technical requirements	4
2.1 General requirements.....	4
2.2 RSA-SHA512-3072	6
3 Key Generation and Management.....	10
3.1 Responsibility of software vendor	10
3.2 Distribution	10
3.3 Storage	10
3.4 Accountability	11
3.5 Compromising of key to third party.....	11
4 Resources	11

1 Introduction

In order to achieve compliance with the Danish Cash Register Act and Regulations pursuant to the Act all digital cash register systems are required to implement a digital signature.

The signature shall sign specific data from each receipt and be recorded in the electronic journal upon finalization of each transaction. It is also mandatory to export the signature to the SAF-T Cash Register XML.

For the creation of the signature, the system vendors need to use the following standard:

- A digital signature using an RSA 3072 bit key with a SHA512 hash function (RSA-SHA512 3072)

RSA-SHA512-3072 is the only variant accepted by the Danish Tax Authority and is the minimum standard utilized in OCES certificate standard (see section 4 'OCES Certificate Policy'). The public key corresponding to the private key, which is used to digitally sign the transaction data, must be provided as part of the certificate.

This document explains the basic principles and provides a step-by-step guide to implementing RSA-SHA512-3072 via OCES.

1.1 The main principles

The figure presents an overview of the process of signing data with a chaining element:



Data from receipt is defined in section 2. The signing of data is done using RSA-SHA512-3072 which return the signatures.

The use of signatures from the previous receipt ensures a chain of signed data that should not be

broken. This must be done in the same ECR or Point of sale (POS) or other logical representation of the point of sale (i.e. registerID etc.).

The signing process **MUST** be done during the completion of a transaction, not by batch processing etc.

1.2 Legal basis for the signature

With reference to:

Regulations «BEK nr 2246 af 30/11/2021» relating to requirements for digital cash register systems (the Cash Register Act) - §63 stk. 1 Digital sales registration systems.

«Alle handlinger via salgsregistreringssystemer skal registreres i systemets elektroniske journal (logges). Transaktionsdata i den elektroniske journal skal signeres digitalt med et dansk OCES-certifikat, udstedt til den erhvervsdrivende eller dennes leverandør af digitalt salgsregistreringssystem, så integriteten af data i den elektroniske journal kan verificeres i forbindelse med kontrol.»

With the signature, any alteration of the signed data without use of the private key of the businessowner/software vendor is made detectable. This adds a strong integrity measure to the electronic journal.

1.3 Cryptography

By requiring the use of RSA-SHA512-3072 digital signature one is utilizing the strength of asymmetric cryptography, also called public key cryptography.

Asymmetric cryptography is a cryptographic system that uses two related keys, a key pair: *private key* and *public key*. Any message that is encrypted by using the private key can only be decrypted using the matching public key. The public key is made freely available to anyone, while a second, private key is kept secret and only known to the vendor.

The advantage to asymmetric cryptography is that it eliminates the issue of exchanging keys over the Internet or large network while preventing them from falling into the wrong hands.

1.4 Digital signature

Digital signatures are based on asymmetric cryptography. Using a public key algorithm such as RSA, two keys that are mathematically linked are generated: one private and one public. The keys should always originate from the OCES certificate issued to the business owner/system supplier. To generate a digital signature, signing software creates a one-way hash (such as SHA 512) of the electronic data to be signed. The private key is then used to encrypt the hash. The encrypted hash is the digital signature. Digital signature provides integrity, authentication, and non-repudiation.

1.5 Acquiring the RSA keypair through OCES CA

1.5.1 OCES CA certificate policy

Generating the keys used in the RSA digital signing is a process carried out through the acquisition of the OCES certificate. The Danish Agency for Digital Government outlines the regulations that the supplier of such certificates must always adhere to.

1.5.2 Integration of the OCES certificate for signing purposes

The digital signature must be based on the Danish OCES3 standard. It is important to note, that this is a

change from the current OCES2 standard, which will be deprecated, and all certificates will be made invalid 31st of October 2023, why all solutions must be migrated to OCES3. The certificate should identify either the company using the cash register or the company providing the cash register service or facility. Therefore, a so called "Virksomhedscertifikat" (Company certificate) is to be used. In OCES3 terms this is the VOCES certificate.

The full OCES3 certificate (without privateKey) is to be provided in a PEM X.509 version within the <certificateData> element. This means that indicators "-----BEGIN CERTIFICATE-----" & "-----END CERTIFICATE-----" are expected and should be included.

Details of the OCES3 certificate can be found at [Certifikater - MitID Erhverv \(mitid-erhverv.dk\)](https://www.mtid-erhverv.dk/certifikater)
An example on OCES3 certificate can be located here: <https://www.ca1.gov.dk/certifikater/>

2 Technical requirements

This section addresses the technical requirements for implementing RSA-SHA512-3072 signing of the individual transactions via OCES.

2.1 General requirements

1. The signature must be recorded in the electronic journal with a direct link to the full record of the original receipt.
2. The signature must be created for all transactions that are reported in **cashtransaction (6.1 in Technical Description 1.1)** in addition to the CVR number of the company. This includes all receipts that are given a transaction number, and normally comprises transactions that influence sales. Please see section 2.1.2 for further clarification of what types of transactions this includes.
3. It must be recorded which version of the private or secret key that was used to generate the signature of the receipt.
4. The format for creating the hash and signature must be identical to the data exported to the Cash Register XML format and is stated in section 2.2.4 (RSA). The data elements must be separated by ";" (semicolon). Further, the currency must be the same as stated on the receipt.
5. The signature must be created and recorded in the electronic journal in parallel with finalizing the transaction. Not by batch processing.
6. The signature from previous receipt must be derived from the signature value from the last receipt for the same company in the same cash register. See example in section 2.1.1.
7. When the previous receipt does not have a signature, for example after a fresh install, the signature value must be set to "0" – number zero.
8. When exporting from the electronic journal to Cash Register XML the signature shall be recorded in the field 'signature' and the key version in the field 'keyVersion'. The OCES certificate is stored in the 'certificateData' element. All three elements are located at *company/location/cashregister/cashtransaction*.

2.1.1 Example signature trail

The signature trail must follow the structure of the SAF-T Cash Register XML. This means that the signature for a receipt must have the data from that receipt included, as well as the signature from the previous receipt (receipt number) for the same company in the same cash register.

When exporting to the XML datafile the receipts must be in the same order as shown below. This is

to make it possible to verify the signature trail.

Company

- Location1
 - CashRegisterX
 - Transaction1X1
 - Signatur1X1
 - Transaction1X2
 - Signature1X2 (from Signature1X1)
 - Transaction1X3
 - Signature1X3 (from Signature1X2)
 - CashRegisterY
 - Transaction 1Y1
 - Signature1Y1
 - Transaction1Y2
 - Signature1Y2 (from Signature1Y1)
 - Transaction1Y3
 - Signature1Y3 (from Signature1Y2)
- Location2
 - CashRegisterX
 - Transaction2X1
 - Signature2X1
 - Transaction2X2
 - Signature2X2 (from Signature2X1)
 - Transaction2X3
 - Signature2X3 (from Signature2X2)

2.1.2 Transactions to include in signature trail

As the signature trail must follow the structure of the SAF-T Cash Register XML, **all transactions** that are exported to auditfile/company/location/cashregister/**cashtransaction** must be given a signature. They must therefore always be included in the signature trail. Due to this fact, the elements *signature*, *certificateData* and *keyVersion* are mandatory.

Normally the transactions reported in the **cashtransaction** relates to sales, both through increasing and decreasing the sales amount. However, depending on the preferences of the system vendor, also other additional transactions (transaction types) may be included in the signature trail.

If for example “Opening of cash drawer” is also treated as a transaction by the system, and used for generation of signature and written as a transaction in the XML export (in **<cashtransaction>**) this must in addition be reported as an event in the XML with a reference to the transaction ID **<transID>**.

All fields required to create the signature are mandatory, and they must all be included in the cashtransactions along with the mandatory elements.

When different types of transactions are used, **<transType>** is to be filled out to distinguish the different transaction types. The elements **<transAmtIn>** and **<transAmtEx>** must be filled with value **“0.00”** when there is no amount. These elements cannot be left empty or excluded.

2.2 RSA-SHA512-3072

2.2.1 General description of RSA and SHA as a paired standard.

When RSA and SHA-512 are combined, RSA is used for the digital signing process, while SHA-512 is used for generating a hash of the data being signed. In practice the sender first applies a hash function to the transaction data to create a message digest. The sender then encrypts the message digest with the sender's private key (supplied through OCES) to create the sender's personal signature.

Upon receiving the message and signature, the receiver decrypts the signature using the sender's public key (derived from the XML-element <certificateData>) to recover the message digest and hashes the message using the same hash algorithm that the sender used.


If the message digest that the receiver computes match the message digest received from the sender, the receiver can assume that the message was not altered while in transit. Note that anyone can verify a signature because the sender's public key is common knowledge. This technique does not retain the secrecy of the message; for the message to be secret, it must also be encrypted.

2.2.2 Implementation for ECR/POS software of RSA:

During audits the Tax Authorities will regenerate the hash and compare it with the hash derived from the signature stored in the electronic journal by using the public key obtained through the relevant certificate. The RSA signature must be generated and stored in the electronic journal upon each completion of the sale.

The Tax Authorities generates the hash by using the defined data values stored in the electronic journal. A match indicates that the data has not been altered by a third party without knowledge to the private key.

The process of signing and verification is illustrated in the image below:



If the hashes are equal, the signature is valid.

2.2.3 Certificate requisition

The Digital Cash Register Act demands that the signed transactions are done using the keys validated and delivered in the OCES certificate acquisition process (see 1.5 *Acquiring the RSA keypair through OCES CA*). The certificate will provide information about the responsible signee and, among other data, contain the public key used in the RSA signature. The OCES CA will host the necessary information to validate the certificate, which can be requisitioned by the Danish Tax Authority on demand.

2.2.4 Technical requirements

IMPORTANT NOTE: *The process of verifying the signature will not be possible if the technical requirements are not met. This is because the recalculation of the SHA hash must be done with the exact same values as done with the signing process.*

When using the RSA algorithm (data encryption algorithm using the asymmetric key system, public and private key), the following guidelines (in addition to general requirements) must be applied:

1. The systems vendor is not allowed to use any other keypair than the ones validated by the OCES CA through the certification installation process (see Certificate Policy in Ressource section below for further information).
2. The public key must result from an extraction from the private key in PEM format (base-64).
3. The systems vendor must ensure that the private key used to create the signature is their unique knowledge and is properly protected in the software environment. See Key

Management for further guidelines.

The following table describes what data to be signed and their order (see also section 6.1 *cashtransaction* in *Technical_description_Danish SAF-T format for Cash Register data*):

Element in SAF-T Cash Register	Description of element	Format and requirements	Examples
signature	Signature from previous receipt	Base-64 If no previous receipt the signature value must be set to "0" – number zero	signature_from_previous_receipt
nr	Transaction number. This must be a unique, sequential number within a journal. This will be the same as the number stated on the issued receipt	IdentificationString36	123456789
transID	Transaction ID. Other unique internal, sequential ID used by the cash register system. This can be the equivalent of the element "nr".	IdentificationString36	11334455
transType	Transaction type. Description of the code MUST be declared in the 'Basics' table (basicType 11). See technical description section 3.20.	IdentificationString36	CASHSAL
transDate	Date at which the transaction was performed.	YYYY-MM-DD Do NOT use time zone or combined date and time format.	2014-01-24 2015-10-29
transTime	Time at which the transaction was performed.	hh:mm:ss Use ss=00 as default value if no information of seconds are available. Same as within the SAF-T export. Do NOT use time zone or combined date and time format.	23:59:59

emplID	Unique identification of the employee who has performed the transaction (refers to the emplID of the employee element). See section: 3.15 in technical description.	IdentificationString36	1003
transAmntIn	The amount involved in the transaction, including VAT.	Decimal Data Type: Numerical field with two decimals. Decimal separator “.” (dot). No thousand separators. No leading or ending spaces.	1250.00
transAmntEx	The amount involved in the transaction, excluding VAT.	Decimal Data Type: Numerical field with two decimals. Decimal separator “.” (dot). No thousand separators. No leading or ending spaces.	1000.00
registerID	Unique id of the register. Identical to <i>registerID</i> in section 4.3 in technical description.	String100	123.45678-A
companyIdent	The company’s danish 8-digit CVR number. This must be identical to the number provided in section 3.1 «company\companyIdent»	No leading or ending spaces	12345678

2.2.5 Practical example

Signing of data:

Data to be signed:

signature_from_previous_receipt;123456789;11334455;CASHSAL;2014-01-24;23:59:59;1003;1250.00;1000.00;123.45678-A;12345678

Hash data with SHA512:

3e1c8308b6813ff8f5ec6221b8d6451b733fcda89803a886c1b698394b6ec293ea68fc741cf269f4ce6b4c1d5e10d500350749476c74ac754b34da3103065818

Encrypt the hash value with private key and generate signature:

signature_for_this_receipt

Verification of signature:

Generate hash with SHA512 with same unsigned data as above:

3e1c8308b6813ff8f5ec6221b8d6451b733fcda89803a886c1b698394b6ec293ea68fc741cf269f4ce6b4c1d

5e10d500350749476c74ac754b34da3103065818

Use public key on signed data to get hash:

3e1c8308b6813ff8f5ec6221b8d6451b733fcda89803a886c1b698394b6ec293ea68fc74

1cf269f4ce6b4c1d5e10d500350749476c74ac754b34da3103065818

The hash values are the same and the data integrity is confirmed.

3 Key Generation and Management

The objective of key management is to achieve a situation in which the private key or secret key cannot be revealed or abused. Therefore, great responsibility rests with the software vendors to protect these keys.

This section presents guidelines and best practices for key generation and management.

3.1 Responsibility of software vendor

The software vendor must do a risk assessment based on the circumstances they are facing in the following:

- Protection of private/secret key used by the ECR/POS software available to their customers.
- Protection of private/secret key within the software vendor company premises.

The conclusions and actions taken must be documented and act as the basis for the management of secret key(s).

The consequence of private/secret keys being compromised is that the software vendor must contact the relevant CA responsible for managing the certificate to which the keypair was generated for.

3.2 Distribution

The generated keys shall be transported (when necessary) using secure channels. The distribution of the public key (asymmetric encryption using RSA) to the Danish Tax Authority is done utilizing the OCES CA key distribution solution.

Sharing of secret keys with other parties must not be done, unless stated by an industry agreement with the participation of the Danish Tax Authority. It is however permitted for the business owner to use the system suppliers certified keypair for the signing process. Insofar such a transaction of keys is performed internally within the system supplier or between the system supplier and the customer (business owner), it must be carried out adhering to the OCES CA rules and regulations.

3.3 Storage

The basis of key management is to ensure that the keys are stored in a secure manner. What constitutes a secure manner depends on how the environment for each cash register system is structured.

Regardless of the environment and whether the key is stored internally or externally, the following general protective measures should be considered:

- Developers must understand where cryptographic keys are stored within the application. Understand what memory devices the keys are stored on.
- Limit the amount of time the key is held in plaintext form, for example in volatile memory.
- Keys should never be stored in plaintext format, and humans prevented from viewing it in plaintext.
- Keys should be protected on both volatile and persistent memory, ideally processed within secure cryptographic modules.
- Keys should be stored so that no other than the privileged persons get access to it in plaintext form.

3.4 Accountability

Accountability involves the identification of those that have access to, or control of, cryptographic keys throughout their lifecycles. This can be an effective tool to help prevent key compromises and to reduce the impact of compromises once they are detected. Although it is preferred that no humans are able to view keys, as a minimum, the key management system should account for all individuals who are able to view plaintext cryptographic keys.

In addition, more sophisticated key-management systems may account for all individuals authorized to access or control any cryptographic keys, whether in plaintext or cipher text form.

3.5 Compromising of key to third party

If a key is compromised, the cash register system no longer fulfils the requirements in the Cash Register Systems Regulations. The supplier must, without undue delay, notify the CA of the OCES certificate from which the keypair is generated, of this and rectify the deficiency or withdraw the cash register system from the market, refer the certificate policy of the Danish Agency for Digital Government (see section “4 Resources”).

4 Resources

OCES-standarden – Digitaliseringsstyrelsen (Agency for Digital Government)

<https://digst.dk/it-loesninger/nemid/om-loesningen/oces-standarden/>

NETS Certificate Policy for OCES-Virksomhedscertifikater (v.5)

https://www.nemid.nu/dk-da/om-nemid/historien_om_nemid/oces-standarden/oces-certifikatpolitikker/VOCES_Certifikatpolitik_v5.pdf

Agency for Digital Government - Certificat Policy

[VOCES Certificatpolitik V4 0 sep 09 Eng.doc \(digst.dk\)](#)